

### REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the Office Action dated December 13, 2007, which set forth a requirement for election of species between Claims 34 and 35. Claims 12-15, 29-36, 39-46, and 47-52 are pending in the application. Claims 53-64 are also pending but indicated as withdrawn. Claims 65-72 have been canceled.

Applicant elects the species of Claim 35 for examination. The Office Action noted Claim 29 is generic to Claims 34 and 35. Accordingly, once the patentability of Claim 29 is confirmed, applicant requests rejoinder and allowance of non-elected Claim 34.


Applicant also requests reconsideration and examination of Claims 53-64. As indicated in applicant's prior response dated September 24, 2007, the election of Claims 12-15, 29-36, 39-46, and 47-52 ("Group I") was made with limited traverse as to Claims 53-64 ("Group II"). Claim 12 recites a method that includes certain actions that are performed by computer. Claim 53 recites a computer-accessible medium having executable instructions stored thereon, wherein the instructions, when executed, cause a computer to perform the claimed method. Under the guidance of MPEP § 806.05(c), restriction of Claims 53-64 does not seem proper. Claims 53-64 should be examined.

### CONCLUSION

Examination of Claims 12-15, 29-33, 35-36, 39-46, and 47-64 is requested. Should there be any questions or issues that can be resolved by telephone, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned counsel at the number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR  
JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>



Kevan L. Morgan  
Registration No. 42,015  
Direct Dial No. 206.695.1712

LAW OFFICES OF  
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS<sup>PLLC</sup>  
1420 Fifth Avenue  
Suite 2800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
206.682.8100